

The European Commission's science and knowledge service

Joint Research Centre

Country tables results

Donata Lerda

Healthcare Quality Team Leader
Unit F1 "Health in Society"

General overview



- How many countries filled-in the questionnaire?
27 out of 27 (all replied to both guidelines and QA scheme)
- How many provided contacts?
22 out of 27

General overview recommendations



Out of the **27** responding countries, how many from

- countries that have a screening programme or roll-out? **25**

Aggregated picture for recommendations



Existing / rolling-out programme	Number of countries impacted
Impact of 40-44 ECIBC recommendation	7
Impact of 45-49 ECIBC recommendation	16
Impact of 50-69 ECIBC recommendation	1 (50 - 62,66)
Impact of 70-74 ECIBC recommendation	11

Comments on recommendations



- i. Awareness of new recommendations should be measured
- ii. 70-74 should be better discussed
- iii. Screening is already covering/not covering age ranges along/not along the ECIBC recommendations (political decision)
- iv. Recommendations are useful basis for a dialogue with policy makers on ages to be prioritised when planning/discussing to extend screening to other age ranges

Points for the Round Table

Recommendations



- i. Most of the countries do consider the recommendations as possible guiding tool
- ii. Most of the countries do not see barriers for implementing ECIBC screening recommendations
- iii. Most of detected barriers for implementation are for the 45-49 age range

General overview QA scheme



Out of the 27 responding countries, how many from

- countries that have a BC care policy? 26
- countries that do not have a BC care policy? 1

Comments QA scheme impact on existing BC care policies



- i. Modules OK for 24 (1 not reporting, 2 have concerns about end-of-life care)
- ii. Accreditation infrastructure in some cases is not fully understood, for 7 doubts about readiness
- iii. QA scheme allows comparing outcomes between EU countries
- iv. Re-assurance to the correct use of quality assurance
- v. Significant realignment may be needed (effort) due to standards in use (e.g. at least for screening) and/ or flexible approach needed
- vi. Most did not provide comment on standard used

General overview QA scheme



Out of the 27 responding countries, how many responses from

- countries that listed at least one incentive 17

Points for Round Table participants



- i. How can EC & EP support implementation of guidelines / QA scheme?
- ii. How Europa Donna sees/support implementation of guidelines / QA scheme?
- iii. How ECCO and see/support implementation of guidelines / QA scheme?
- iv. How EUPHA and see/support implementation of guidelines / QA scheme?
- v. How a National policy maker see/support implementation of guidelines / QA scheme?